

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,517	11/14/2006	Jong Chul Ye	US030089	2069	
24737 7590 07/28/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KIM, HEE-YONG		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/580,517	YE, JONG CHUL			
Examiner	Art Unit			
HEE-YONG KIM	2621			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	

Period fo	or Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Inscore of time may be available under the provisions of 57 Ft. 138(a), in no event, however, may reply be timely filed SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Six (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The strength of the communication of the strength of the soft or strength of the strength of the reply with the soft or strength of the soft or strength of the soft or strength of the strength of the soft or strength of the soft or strength of the strength of the soft or strength of the
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2006.
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
.—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 May 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	·· _
 Notic 	te of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Hiformation Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/S0/05)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/24/2006.

() [Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

 Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other: __

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/580,517

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because there are no labels in Fig.1-3 for rectangular boxes. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 recites "a
first ration". The examiner maintains that "ration" is typographical error and it should be
"ratio". Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/580,517

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding **claim 1**, the claim recites "determining a ratio $|\partial D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i(k))| / \partial R_i(k) |; \text{ where a } D_i(R; \theta) \text{ represents a distortion model for an i-th block, } R_i(k) \text{ represents a rate for a k-(run, level) pair, and } \theta_i(k) \text{ represents an estimated parameter for the i-th block using a k-(rtm, level) pair, and if <math>|\partial D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i(k))| / \partial R_i(k)|$ is less than λ or if $|\partial D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i(k))| / \partial R_i(k)|$ is a first ratio that is not less than λ , putting the k-th (run, length) pair into a base layer, otherwise if $|\partial D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i(k))| / \partial R_i(k)|$ is greater than λ , putting the k-th (run, length) pair into an enhancement layer,". With respect the claim, the specification describes the parametric model $D(R; \theta) = \sigma^2 \exp(-\alpha R)$ where $\theta = (\sigma, \alpha)$, and $|\partial D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i(k))| / \partial R_i(k)|$ is derived from this (page 11, line 22-23). Also, the specification recites "Estimate $\theta_i(k)$ using $\{C_i(m)\}$ and $\{L_i(m)\}$ and update the parametric distortion $D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i(k))$ " (pp.12, line 14-15). However, there is no disclosure how to estimate $\theta_i(k)$ using $\{C_i(m)\}$ and $\{L_i(m)\}$ an

Art Unit: 2621

and update the parametric distortion $D_i(R_i(k);\theta_i(k))$. Furthermore, there is no disclosure in the specification "or if $|\partial D_i(R_i(k);\theta_i(k))|/\partial R_i(k)|$

is a first ratio that is not less than λ , putting the k-th (run, length) pair into a base layer".

Regarding other independent claims 13 and 24, they are decoders and have same issues as encoder (claim 1). Therefore, they are rejected too.

Regarding other remaining claims, they are dependent on any of the above independent claims. Therefore, they are rejected too.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-26 are rejected as being unpatentable over Ye (US 7.010) (hereafter referenced as Ye) and in view of Zhang (Proc. SPIE, vol.4671, Visual Communications and Image Processing 2002, pp.817-827), hereafter referenced as Zhang.

Regarding claim 1, Ye discloses System and Method for Rate-Distortion

Optimized Data Partition for Video Coding Using Backward Adaptation. Ye specifically discloses A method for partitioning data for a scalable video encoder (Fig.1), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving video data (Input Video, Fig.1);

Determining DCT coefficients (8x8 DCT coefficients, col.5, line 43-46) for a plurality of

Art Unit: 2621

macroblocks (macroblock, col.5, line 47) of a video frame;

quantizing the DCT coefficients (quantized coefficients, col.5, line 30);

converting the quantized DCT coefficients into (run, length) pairs (rum-level pairs, col.5, line 39-40); and

for each the plurality of macroblocks in the video frame, determining a ratio $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i| \ \ (\ \, \text{Equation 3, } \ \partial D_i / \partial R_i = \{ |X_i^k|^2/L_k\}, \ \, \text{where } D_i \ \, \text{represents a distortion model for an i-th block (Distortion from i-th block, col.6, line 10-12), R_i represents a rate for an i-th block (Rate from i-th block, col.6, line 10-12); and R_i and R_i and R_i are the place of the property of the place of the$

if $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i|$ is less than λ or if $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i|$ is a first ratio that is not less than λ , putting the k-th (run, length) pair into a base layer (Col.6, line 60-66),

otherwise if $|\partial D|/\partial R_i|$ is greater than λ , putting the k-th (run, length) pair into an enhancement layer (Col.7, line 3-5), where λ is determined in accordance with a Lagrangian calculation (Lagrangian λ is determined by the standard bisection search, col.6, line 23-24).

However Ye fails to disclose that where $D_i = D_i(R; \theta)$ represents a distortion model for an i-th block (Distortion from i-th block, col.6, line 10-12), $R_i(k)$ represents a rate for a k-(run, level) pair (Rate from i-th block, col.6, line 10-12), and θ_i (k)represents an estimated parameter for the i-th block using a k-(run, level) pair,

In the analogous field of endeavor, Zhang discloses Constant Quality

Constrained Rate Allocation for FGS Video Coded Bitstreams. Zhang specifically discloses a piecewise exponential R-D model. $D = \sigma^2 \exp(-\alpha R)$ in order to approximate

Art Unit: 2621

the actual characteristic of Rate-Distortion (pp. 821, line 3-4). It means that $D_i = D_i(R; \theta)$ for each macroblock, where $\theta = (\sigma, \alpha)$.

Therefore, given this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Ye by providing specifically the piecewise exponential R-D model and updating R-D model (θ_i (k), R_i (k), and D_i (R_i (k); θ_i (k)) based on inclusion of each (runlength) pair, in order to approximate the actual characteristic of Rate-Distortion. The Ye method, incorporating the piecewise exponential R-D model and updating R-D model (θ_i (k), R_i (k), and D_i (R_i (k); θ_i (k)) based on inclusion of each (run-length) pair, has all the features of claim 1.

Regarding **claim 2**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses further comprising the step of transmitting the base (base layer, fig.1) and enhancement layers (enhancement layer, Fig.1) over different transmission channels (plurality of channels, col.4, line 56).

Regarding claim 3, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video encoder (scalable video coding, col.1, line 16) is MPEG-4 Encoder (MPEG 4, col.1, line 29).

Regarding **claim 4**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video encoder (scalable video coding, col.1, line 16) is H.263 Encoder (H.263, col.2, line 23).

Regarding **claim 5**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video encoder (scalable video coding, col.1, line 16) is MPEG-2 Encoder (MPEG 2, col.1, line 29).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 6, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video encoder is a video encoder which has DCT transform (Discrete Cosine Transform, col.2, line 10) and entropy coding (entropy coding, col.5, line 29-30).

Regarding claim 7, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video encoder is realized by transcoding single layer MPEG2, MPEG4, and H.26L (transcoding single layer MPEG2, MPEG4, and H.26L, col.9, line 65-67).

Regarding **claim 8**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses further comprising the step of quantizing $\lambda(\lambda can be quantized, col.7, line 54)$ and transmitting (transmitting λ , col.7, line 61) the quantized value as side information to a decoder.

Regarding **claim 9**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 6). In addition, Ye discloses wherein the side information is sent only once in a flame header for the video frame (only once at the video frame header, col.7, line 52-56).

Regarding claim 10, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 6). In addition, Ye discloses wherein the side information can be sent to a slice header or a video packet header to improve robustness. (slice or video packet level to combat frame header loss, col.7, line 57-60).

Regarding claim 11, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein λ is determined to meet

Art Unit: 2621

a rate budge for a transmission channel for the base layer using a bisection algorithm (λ is determined by the standard bisection search so that the rate constraint is satisfied, col.6, line 23-24).

Regarding claim 12, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Ye discloses wherein λ is determined to meet a rate budge for a transmission channel for the base layer using an adaptive algorithm (meet a rate budget for a transmission channel for the base layer using an adaptive algorithm, col.10, line 18-20).

Regarding **claim 13**, the Ye method, incorporating the piecewise exponential R-D model and updating R-D model (θ_i (k), $R_i(k)$, and $D_i(R_i(k); \theta_i$ (k)) based on inclusion of each (run-length) pair, as applied to claim 1, discloses A method for determining a boundary between a base layer and at least one enhancement layer in a scalable video decoder (Ye: Fig.1), the method comprising the steps of: receiving the base layer and the at least one enhancement layer (Fig.1), the base layer (Ye: base layer in the decoder, Fig.1) and enhancement layer (Ye: enhancement layer in the decoder, Fig.1) including data representing (run, length) pairs (Ye: (run, length) pairs, col.7, line 17) for a plurality of macroblocks in a video frame; for each the plurality of macroblocks in the video frame, determining a ratio $|\partial D_i(R_i) / \partial R_i|$ (Ye: Equation 3, $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i| = \{|X_i^k|^2/L_k\}$); where a $|D_i(R_i)|$ represents a distortion model for an i-th block, $|R_i(k)|$ represents a rate for a k-(run, level) pair (Ye: Rate from i-th block, col.6, line

10-12), and θ_i (k)represents an estimated parameter for the i-th block using a k-(rum.

Art Unit: 2621

level) pair (incorporating the piecewise exponential R-D model and updating R-D model (θ_i (k), R_i (k), and D_i (R_i (k); θ_i (k)) based on inclusion of each (run-length) pair), and if $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i|$ is less than λ or if $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i|$ is a first ratio that is not less than λ , read the k-th (run, length) pair into a base layer (Ye: Col.7, line 15-20), otherwise if $|\partial D_i / \partial R_i|$ is greater than λ , read the k-th (run, length) pair into an enhancement layer (Ye: Col.7, line 21-22), where λ is determined by decoding side information (Ye: λ should be quantized for transmission over the channel, col.7, line 38-40).

Regarding claim 14, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses further comprising the step of transmitting the base (base layer, fig.1) and enhancement layers (enhancement layer, Fig.1) over different transmission channels (plurality of channels, col.4, line 56).

Regarding claim 15, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video decoder (scalable video coding, col.1, line 16) is MPEG-4 Decoder (MPEG 4, col.1, line 29).

Regarding claim 16, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video decoder (scalable video coding, col.1, line 16) is H.263 Decoder (H.263, col.2, line 23).

Regarding claim 17, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video decoder (scalable video coding, col.1, line 16) is MPEG-2 Encoder (MPEG 2, col.1, line 29).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 18, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video decoder is a video decoder which uses DCT transform (Discrete Cosine Transform, col.2, line 10) and entropy coding (entropy coding, col.5, line 29-30).

Regarding claim 19, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses wherein scalable video decoder is realized by a merger in front of a single layer video decoder selected from the group consisting of an MPEG2, MPEG4, and H.26L decoder (merger in front of a single layer video decoder selected from the group consisting of an MPEG2, MPEG4, and H.26L decoder, col.10, line 49-52).

Regarding claim 20, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses further comprising the step of receiving λ as side information to a decoder (λ should be quantized for transmission over the channel. col.7, line 38-40).

Regarding claim 21, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 20). In addition, Ye discloses wherein the side information is sent only once in a flame header for the video frame (only once at the video frame header, col.7, line 52-56).

Regarding claim 22, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 20). In addition, Ye discloses wherein the side information is copied for each slice header or video packet header to increase robustness (λ may be sent at the slice or video packet level to combat frame header loss, col.7, line 57-60).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding **claim 23**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 13). In addition, Ye discloses wherein λ is determined to meet a rate budge for a transmission channel for the base layer.

(λ is determined by the standard bisection search so that the rate constraint is satisfied, col.6, line 23-24).

Regarding claim 24, the claimed invention is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim 13. Claim 13 has all the features of claim 24 except memory and processor.

However, Ye further discloses a memory (memory 20, Fig.4) which stores computer-executable process steps; and a processor (processor 38, Fig.4) which executes the process steps stored in the memory

Regarding claim 25, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 24). In addition, Ye discloses wherein λ is received by the decoder as side information-associated with the video frame and the side information is sent only once in a frame header for the video frame (λ overhead need to be sent only once at the video frame header, col.7, line 52-56).

Regarding **claim 26**, Ye and Zhang disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 24). In addition, Ye discloses wherein λ is determined to meet a rate budge for a transmission channel for the base layer.

(λ is determined by the standard bisection search so that the rate constraint is satisfied, col.6. line 23-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/580,517 Page 12

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Zhang (US 2003/0,058,931) discloses Transcoder for Scalable Multi-Laver Constant Quality.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlie

. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HEE-YONG KIM whose telephone number is (571)270-

3669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

OSF TO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HEE-YONG KIM/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621

Application/Control Number: 10/580,517 Page 13

Art Unit: 2621

/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 July 23, 2010